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#### Abstract

We report here an efficient route to the synthesis of highly fluorescent hexaaryl[3]radialenes using the oligomerization of ate-type copper carbenoids, followed by cyclization with hexamethylditin and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$; the structures of the [3]dendralene and hexaaryl[3]radialenes were determined by X-ray crystallographic analysis. © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.
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Radialenes (polymethylenecycloalkanes) have received considerable attention from both theorists and experimentalists, ${ }^{1}$ because they display a particular arrangement of $\pi$-electrons ${ }^{2}$ and because they can serve as electron donors and acceptors. ${ }^{3}$ In conjunction with our program to develop methods for transition-metal-catalyzed synthesis of new $\pi$-electron systems, we have investigated a one-pot synthesis of radialenes by either cyclooligomerization of cumulenic double bonds ${ }^{4}$ or carbenoids. ${ }^{5,6}$ Through these investigations, we found that the ate-type copper carbenoids $\mathbf{1}$ oligomerize to produce dendralenes $\mathbf{2}$. We now report here the synthesis of [3]radialenes ( $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ ) starting from [3]dendralenes $\mathbf{2}$ (Scheme 1).

Although the reaction of the ate-type copper carbenoid 1a, derived from $\mathbf{5}$ by successive treatment with $\mathrm{Bu}^{n} \mathrm{Li}$ ( 1.1 equiv.) and $\mathrm{CuI} \cdot \mathrm{PBu}_{3}^{n}$ ( 0.5 equiv.) at low temperatures, produced octaphenyl[4]radialene $7 \mathbf{7 a}$ with increasing temperature; ${ }^{6 a}$ tetraphenylbutatriene $\mathbf{8}$ and the [3]dendralene derivative 9 were obtained by quenching the reaction mixture with $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
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Scheme 1.
(Scheme 2). Since the precursor of 9 was expected as an organocopper species, we quenched the reaction mixture with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( 1.1 equiv.) to form the dibromo[3]dendralene $\mathbf{1 0}$ in $27 \%$ yield, together with $\mathbf{8}(19 \%) .{ }^{7}$ In a similar manner, successive treatment of $\mathbf{6}$ with $\mathrm{Bu}^{n} \mathrm{Li}$ ( 1.1 equiv.) and $\mathrm{CuI} \cdot \mathrm{PBu}_{3}^{n}$ ( 0.5 equiv.) at low temperatures, followed by quenching with $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ ( 1.4 equiv.), produced the [3]dendralene 11 in $35 \%$ yield.


Scheme 2.
Although it is difficult to determine the structures of $\mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{1 1}$ exactly by using complicated ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra due to hindered rotation of aromatic rings, the structure of $\mathbf{1 0}$ was determined unambiguously by an X-ray diffraction method (Fig. 1). ${ }^{8}$ The [3]dendralene $\mathbf{1 0}$ has a stacking structure with approximate $C_{2}$ symmetry. In agreement with the temperature-dependent ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra, $\mathbf{1 0}$ has crowded phenyl groups, and the two sets of benzene rings are stacked face-to-face with a distance of $3.41 \AA$, whereas the terminal two are apart from each other. Interestingly, two bromine atoms are oriented in the same direction, and the intramolecular $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{Br}$ distance is $3.95 \AA$. Therefore, the conformation of $\mathbf{1 0}$ is favorable for intramolecular coupling using metal catalysts.

After some unsuccessful attempts for the cyclization of $\mathbf{1 0}$, we found that the reaction of $\mathbf{1 0}$ with hexamethylditin (2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}(0.3 \text { equiv. })^{9}$ in dioxane at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h resulted in the formation of hexaphenyl[3]radialene 3 ( $28 \%$; $34 \%$ based on the consumed 10), together with the recovered $\mathbf{1 0}$ ( $14 \%$; Scheme 2 ). In a similar manner, the reaction of $\mathbf{1 1}$ with hexamethylditin (2 equiv.) and $\mathrm{Pd}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{4}$ ( 0.3 equiv.) in dioxane at $160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h led to hexa $(4-$ chlorophenyl)[3]radialene 4 in $35 \%$ yield. As has been reported previously, ${ }^{2 c}$ aryl-substituted [3]radialenes show redox properties. The reduction potentials of 3 and 4 measured by cyclic voltammetry ( V versus $\mathrm{Fc} / \mathrm{Fc}^{+}$, $0.1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Bu}_{4}^{n} \mathrm{NClO}_{4}$, $o$-dichlorobenzene, rt) revealed two reversible


Figure 1. ORTEP diagram of $\mathbf{1 0}$
one-electron redox steps (3: -1.08 and $-1.53 \mathrm{~V} ; \mathbf{4}:-0.82$ and -1.31 V ). Although 3 and $\mathbf{4}$ show similar electronic spectra, crystals of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ have orange-yellow and red color, respectively.

The crystal structures of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ were determined by X-ray analysis (Fig. 2). ${ }^{10}$ As shown in Fig. 2a, $\mathbf{3}$ has an approximate $C_{3}$ symmetry, and the six phenyl groups are arranged to form a screw-shaped geometry. The radialene ring shows a high coplanarity, and the maximum atomic deviations from the least-squares planes of the three-membered ring and radialene framework are 0.006 and $0.02 \AA$, respectively. The three exocyclic double bonds reveal a slight torsion ( $8-13^{\circ}$ ), and the three sets of approximately parallel phenyl groups are located at angles of $33-47^{\circ}$ to the
(a)

(b)


Figure 2. (a) ORTEP diagram of 3 . Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right)$ : C1-C2 1.450(10), C2-C3 1.430 (10), C3C1 1.430(10), C1-C4 1.35(1), C2-C5 1.359(10), C3-C6 1.37(1), C2-C1-C3 59.6(4), C1-C2-C3 59.5(4), C1-C3-C2 60.9(4), C1-C2-C5 152.0(6), and C2-C5-C19 122.2(6). (b) ORTEP diagram of 4. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and angles $\left(^{\circ}\right.$ ): C1-C2 1.429(5), C2-C3 1.423 (6), C3-C1 1.436 (5), C1-C4 1.349(5), C2-C1-C3 59.6(3), C1-C2-C5 147.7(4), and C2-C5-C19 118.4(4)
plane of the radialene ring. Although the substitution of chlorine sometimes causes a structural deformation, the crystal structure of $\mathbf{4}$ is very similar to that of $\mathbf{3}$ (Fig. 2b).

As shown in Fig. 3, hexaaryl[3]radialenes 3 and 4 are fluorescent. The fluorescence quantum yield $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.18\right)$ of $\mathbf{4}$ is three times larger than that of $\mathbf{3}\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.064\right)$. The introduction of a heavy atom such as chlorine is often observed to enhance intersystem crossing and decrease fluorescence intensity drastically, ${ }^{11}$ while this is not the case for $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$. Both $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ exhibit rather large shifts between the absorption and fluorescence maxima $(\Delta v)$, in accord with their having intramolecular charge transfer character in the lowest excited singlet states as predicted by CNDO/S3 and AM1 MO calculations. The zero-zero band energies $\left(E_{0-0}\right)$ are similar, while the fluorescence lifetime ( $\tau=6.6 \mathrm{~ns}$ ) of $\mathbf{4}$ is three times longer than that ( 2.6 ns ) of $\mathbf{3}$ (Fig. 3 and Table 1 ).

The lower fluorescence quantum yield of $\mathbf{3}$ than $\mathbf{4}$ is mainly due to the larger non-radiative rate constant $\left(k_{\mathrm{nr}}\right)$, while the radiative rate constants $\left(k_{\mathrm{r}}\right)$ are very similar (Table 1 ). The lack of a heavy atom effect suggests that the intersystem crossing makes a small contribution to the nonradiative process in radialenes. Detailed study on this point is now in progress.


Figure 3. Electronic and fluorescence spectra of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{4}$ in benzene

Table 1
Absorption spectra and fluorescence data of 3 and $\mathbf{4}^{\text {a) }}$

|  | Absorption |  | Fluorescence ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ) |  |  | $\Delta v$ | $\mathrm{E}_{0-0}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{nr}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\left(10^{3}\right)^{\text {b }}$ | $\lambda_{\text {max }}(\mathrm{nm})$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}\left(10^{-2}\right)$ | (ns) | $0^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) | $\left(10^{4} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\left(10^{7} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | $\left.10^{8} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ |
| 3 | 468 | 37 | 617 | 6.4 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 1.90 | 2.5 | 3.6 |
| 4 | 483 | 48 | 606 | 18 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 1.87 | 2.7 | 1.2 |

a) In benzene. b) $\mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. c) Fluorescence quantum yields ( $\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}$ ) were determined by comparison with 4-aminofluorenone in benzene $\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{F}}=0.074\right) .{ }^{12}$
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